

July 17, 2001*

** retyped from original*

California Energy Commission
Docket Unit 01-SIT-1
1516 Ninth Street, MS-4
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Initial Draft of Modifications to the Siting Regulations - Docket Number: 01-SIT-1

Dear Commissioners:

On Monday, July 23 at 1:30 p.m. at the Energy Commission in Sacramento, there will be a discussion about changing the rules of the Commission's process - the way power plants are licensed in California. The purpose of the workshop is to allow public comment BEFORE formal rule making and I would like following to be part of that day's public record on the item.

1. Public notice and enough public hearings is essential to the process and we should have more not less !!!
2. Meetings between the parties TO DISCUSS ANY MATTER without public notice should not be allowed - too much at stake and too much is done behind closed doors already - stay above the fray and say No to this change.....Section 2 Section 1710 H
3. We should not have to trust the staff - we have already found out we cannot at the Portrero workshop hearings (I sat through 36 hours) and you should be thankful the public pointed out the deficiencies.....not be penalizing us for our voice.....
4. You are affecting so many lives and not looking at alternatives because you are not allowed to but everyone is in such a hurry - slow down and realize there is so much time in the day and maybe a power generator company will have to wait. If there is NO NEED what is the hurry anyway.....money, money, money is driving the rush and Greed not NEED.....Section 6. Section 1741. Section 6. Section 1748. Section 8. Section 1752.
5. I do not want the power of the presiding member to be broadened by any change - why should you in Section 1. Section 1212. Restrict the use oral testimony and cross examination and have discretion over what can and not be introduced as exhibits or require written testimony or in Section 3. Section 1212 limit intervenors right to cross-examination. Give the public that participate some credit maybe we are an asset and not a liability.....
6. Why should deference be given to expertise of the agencies - what about the people and others who need to be listened to and their comments weighed - thats why you have public hearings isn't it to listen to the people or is it just to comply with the law.....Section 4.

I am really angry that you feel it is necessary to have this meeting at all but I thank you for the chance to be noticed and to participate - I value this right highly and I thank you.

Sincerely,

Jackie H. Williams (original letter on other side)

July 17, 2001

California Energy Commission
Docket Unit O1-SIT-I.
1516 Ninth Street, MS-4,
Sacramento, Ca. 95814.

Re: Initial Draft of Modifications to the Siting
Regulations - Docket Number: O1-SIT-1

Dear Commissioners:

On Monday, July 23, at 1:30p.m. at the Energy Commission in Sacramento, there will be a discussion about changing the rules of the Commission's process - the way power plants are licensed in California. The purpose of the workshop is to allow public comment BEFORE formal rule making and I would like following to be part of that day's public record on the item.

1. Public notice and enough public hearings is essential to the process and we should have more not less!!!
2. Meetings between the parties to DISCUSS ANY MATTER without public notice should not be allowed - too much at stake and too much is done behind closed doors already - stay above the fray and say No to this change.....Section 2 Section 1710H
3. We should not have to trust the staff - we have already found out we cannot at the Protrero workshop hearings (I sat through 36 hours) and you should be thankful the public pointed out the deficienciesnot be penalizing us for our voice.....
4. You are affecting so many lives and not looking at alternatives because you are not allowed to but everyone is in such a hurry - slow down and realize there is so much time in the day and maybe a power generator company will have to wait. If there is NO NEED what is the hurry anyway.....money, money, money is driving the rush and Greed not NEED.....Section 5, Section 1741, Section 6, Section 1748, Section 3, Section 1752
5. I do not want the power of the presiding member to be broadened by any change - why should you in Section 1, Section 1212 restrict the use oral testimony and cross examination and have discretion over what can and not be introduced as exhibits or require written testimony or in Section 3 Section 1212 limit intervenors right to cross-examination. Give the public that participate some credit maybe we are an asset and not a liability.....
6. Why should deference be given to expertise of the agencies - what about the people and others who need to be listened to and their comments weighed - thats why you have public hearings isn't it to listen to the people or is it just to comply with the law.....Section 4, Section 1714.5

I am really angry that you feel it is necessary to have this meeting at all but I thank you for the chance to be noticed and to participate - I value this right highly and I thank you.

Sincerely,


Jackie Williams
242 Longford Drive
SSF, Ca. 94080
(650) 994-7907